THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

W.P.M.P.No.4933 of 2007,
W.P.M.P(sr) No.19492 of 2007
and
WRIT PETITION No.3525 of 2007

Date: 06.03. 2007

Between:

Marri Srivardhan Reddy S/o Marri Narasimha Reddy

….Petitioner

And

Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary to Government, Agriculture & Cooperation (Mktg.I) Department Secretariat buildings, Saifabad, Hyderabad and others.

….Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

W.P.M.P.No.4933 of 2007,
W.P.M.P(sr) No.19492 of 2007
and
WRIT PETITION No.3525 of 2007

ORDER:

This writ petition was filed through Sri B.Ramakrishna, Advocate. The petitioner filed W.P.M.P.No.4933 of 2007 with a prayer to determine the vakalat of Sri B.Ramakrishna and permit him to engage
Sri M. Narasing Rao, another Advocate. Another W.P.M.P., is filed to dismiss the writ petition as withdrawn. In the common affidavit filed in support of these petitions, it is stated that the petitioner went to
Sri B.Ramakrishna with a request to withdraw the writ petition and when his request was not acceded to, he has filed the present petition.

Sri B.Ramakrishna filed a counter-affidavit stating, *inter alia,* that the petitioner did not come to him at all either requesting for giving no-objection or to withdraw the writ petition.

Heard Sri Gangaiah Naidu, the learned senior counsel, Sri Narasing Rao, learned counsel now appearing for the petitioner.

The developments that have taken place in the writ petition are a sad reflection of the manner in which the petitioner had dealt with his advocates. After the writ petition was filed and an interim order was passed, the present application is filed. If the petitioner wanted to

withdraw the writ petition, he could have certainly instructed his counsel. The averments made in the counter filed by Sri B.Ramakrishna that the petitioner did not approach him at all for withdrawal of the writ petition, are found to be correct, when this Court verified the matter from the petitioner, who is present in the Court. From his statement it becomes clear that either at the time of filing of the writ petition or at subsequent stages he became tool in the hands of some other persons. This Court does not countenance the misuse of process of Court by such irresponsible persons.

Be that as it may, the W.P.M.Ps., are ordered and the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn. The petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees five thousand only) to the Andhra Pradesh High Court Employees Cooperative Credit Society, as costs, within two weeks from today.

L.NARASIMHA REDDY, J

Date: 06.03.2007
sh